- 1 respect to the agreement or with respect to establishing or
- 2 putting WJUX on the air?
- A I think this paragraph refers to -- it doesn't
- 4 have any reference at all to the technical aspect of
- 5 building --
- 6 Q Just the agreement?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Okay. All right.
- And on the basis of anything you've heard during
- the course of the hearing, does that cause you to change?
- 11 A No.
- 12 O Do you want to change -- okay.
- The last paragraph on page 4, "As of October '94,
- 14 I was the sole licensee of the Fort Lee translator, " could
- you just read the first two lines, "As of October '94" down
- to "was commonly owned by Mr. Weis."
- 17 A Okay.
- 18 Q Was the common ownership of the Pomona translator
- with you and Mr. Weis, was that in your mind the obstacle
- 20 for the establishment of the Jukebox Radio Network and its
- 21 relationship with MMBI?
- MR. NAFTALIN: Objection to the form of the
- 23 question.
- MR. ARONOWITZ: Okay, let me try it again.
- 25 //

	1		BY MR. ARONOWITZ;
* Phase**	2	Q	Did you understand that you could have the network
	3	relationsh	nip with MMBI and have common ownership of the
	4	Pomona tra	anslator with Mr. Weis?
	5	А	I didn't think of it in those terms.
	6	Q	What was the problem with the Pomona translator,
	7	if any?	
	8	A	The problem with the Pomona translator was that I
	9	knew that	it was serving Rockland County with Jukebox Radio,
	10	something	they had been accustomed to for about a year and a
	11	half thro	ugh WJUX Franklin Lakes. They had been listening
	12	to it all	along. And I knew that when I entered into this
	13	agreement	and started translating Monticello on Fort Lee,
	14	that there	e would be a loss of service in Rockland County
"Promise" I"	15	until Mr.	Weis divested himself of the Pomona facility.
	16	And then	Jukebox Radio could serve Rockland County again.
	17	Q	So prior the Pomona translator used to carry
	18	Jukebox R	adio programming from Franklin Lakes?
	19	A	Yes.
	20	Q	Okay. And then it stopped.
	21	А	Yes.
	22	Q	And then you divested the interest.
	23		JUDGE STEINBERG: Mr. Weis did.
	24		BY MR. ARONOWITZ;
-	25	Q	Mr. Weis divested the interest?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And then everything was set up the way we now --
- A To return the service in the county, yes.
- Q Okay. And your role as chief operator was without
- 5 pay?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And did you understand the FCC rules to not allow
- 8 you to get paid for that position?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 O Okay. On page 5, and I'm just going to flip pages
- for a couple of minutes, the first full paragraph that
- begins, "Approximately in late October '94," I see one, two,
- three, sentences down that -- four sentences down that says,
- 14 "The receiving antennas of the Fort Lee translator," do you
- 15 see that sentence?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And could you read that sentence?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Okay. And the sentence says, "The receiving
- 20 antennas at the Fort Lee translator were able to receive the
- 21 signal to Monticello station directly off the air or
- 22 directly through space with no difficulty."
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Could you explain -- in light of what we have
- 25 heard today -- up till today, what you've heard and read

- thus far, would you change the words "with no difficulty"?
- 2 All right, let me rephrase that. I withdraw that
- 3 question.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: No. Does the witness understand
- 5 the question?
- 6 THE WITNESS: No, Your Honor.
- 7 MR. ARONOWITZ: Okay.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, because there are legal
- 9 difficulties, there are engineering difficulties, and I
- think you're not talking about the legal difficulties.
- MR. ARONOWITZ: Absolutely correct. I'm just
- talking about, you know, engineering.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes. The sentence, I think,
- is -- you can read it one way. Your question left it open.
- MR. ARONOWITZ: Okay.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: That's why I wanted to ask.
- MR. ARONOWITZ: Absolutely, and I referred to it,
- and when I referred to it, I meant in an engineering sense;
- not in a legal sense, the no difficulty.
- BY MR. ARONOWITZ;
- 21 Q And I guess my question is, given the use of the
- filters and the hot spot -- well, maybe this is just a
- semantic difference. It would seem to me that the filters
- 24 and the hot spot are not usual -- well, the hot spot is not
- unusual. The filters greatly increased the perceptible

- audio when they were installed; is that correct?
- No, they eliminated cha-cha.
- MR. NAFTALIN: You have to say yes or no.
- 4 JUDGE STEINBERG: The witness --
- 5 THE WITNESS: No.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: -- was nodding his head no.
- 7 MR. ARONOWITZ: Okay.
- BY MR. ARONOWITZ;
- 9 O The filters did what?
- 10 A The filters just improved reliability.
- 11 Q Okay. All right. Okay.
- And the signal could be received without the hot
- 13 spot but with the use of the filters?
- 14 A I think I testified about an hour ago that I had
- 15 never really tested that.
- Okay. Page 6, the last paragraph, "I personally
- installed network equipment," --
- 18 A I'm sorry. This is on page 7?
- 19 Q Page 6. Excuse me. Page 6, last paragraph, "I
- 20 personally installed network equipment"?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Why don't you read that sentence and the next
- 23 sentence that would then go down to "including its main
- 24 study in Ferndale, New York."
- 25 A Okay.

- 1 O You've read it? Okay.
- 2 And the first part of the sentence says, "Although
- 3 I assisted Mr. Weis in a general sort of way in starting up
- 4 the Monticello station, I did not personally build the
- 5 Monticello station facilities."
- Is it -- weren't the Monticello station facilities
- 7 preexisting?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Okay. And --
- MR. RILEY: Your Honor, I think the form of the
- 11 question, despite the fact the witness answered it and
- 12 appeared to understand it, I think the form of the question
- 13 encompasses a great number of things. Facilities for a
- 14 station range from a study through a transmitter to an
- 15 antenna.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Why don't you re-ask it? You
- 17 know, specify exactly what you mean.
- MR. ARONOWITZ: Okay. Understood.
- 19 BY MR. ARONOWITZ;
- Q When you said Monticello station facilities, what
- 21 were you referring to?
- 22 A The studios.
- Q Okay. And were the studios -- was the equipment
- in the studios there already?
- A Most of it, yes.

- O Okay. And did you add -- did you add any
- 2 equipment?
- A Yes.
- 4 Q And was additional equipment located at the
 - 5 transmitter site, additional network equipment?
 - 6 A Yes.
 - 7 O So while this sentence is accurate with respect to
 - 8 the WJUX Monticello, the station non-network equipment, this
 - 9 sentence doesn't refer to network, anything you might have
- done with respect to the network; is that correct?
- MR. NAFTALIN: I object to the form of the
- 12 question.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, which question, the
- "although I assisted" sentence?
- MR. ARONOWITZ: Yes, the "Although I assisted Mr.
- Weis in a general sort of way in starting up the Monticello
- station, I did not personally build the Monticello station
- facilities nor did Jukebox Radio pay for any of the costs of
- 19 constructing the Monticello station."
- 20 My question was --
- JUDGE STEINBERG: I think the sentence is pretty
- 22 clear.
- BY MR. ARONOWITZ;
- O But it doesn't refer to the network; is that
- 25 correct? Efforts done with respect to the network?

- JUDGE STEINBERG: That's the first sentence.
- 2 MR. ARONOWITZ: Okay.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: And also this third sentence,
- 4 the network equipment.
 - 5 MR. ARONOWITZ: Okay.
 - JUDGE STEINBERG: So I think you have to read it
 - 7 in context.
 - 8 MR. ARONOWITZ: Okay, I just wanted to be sure.
 - 9 JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, if you're not sure, ask,
 - 10 but I think --
 - MR. ARONOWITZ: That's why I just did. Now I'm
 - 12 sure and I'm moving along.
 - JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, I don't want you to be not
 - 14 sure.
- MR. ARONOWITZ: Moving along. No, it's clarified,
- 16 absolutely clarified.
- 17 BY MR. ARONOWITZ;
- 18 Q On the next page, page 7, the first full
- 19 paragraph, "I have never exercised control," could you just
- 20 read that sentence?
- 21 A Yes, I've read it.
- Q Okay. You do, however -- Jukebox Radio Network,
- however, has the responsibility to make their network
- 24 payments; is that correct?
- 25 A Correct.

- 1 Q Okay.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Let me ask this: Forget it, I
- have the -- I will withdraw that because I have the --
- 4 something is mixed up on my mind, and it would have been a
- 5 really stupid question.
- MR. ARONOWITZ: That hasn't stopped me, Your
- 7 Honor.
- 8 (Laughter.)
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Let the record reflect humor.
- MR. ARONOWITZ: Actually, this one actually -- let
- 11 the record reflect that we actually got a response this
- 12 time.
- 13 (Laughter.)
- MR. ARONOWITZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 15 BY MR. ARONOWITZ;
 - 16 Q I ask you to turn to page 21, the bottom of the
 - page, and I think there is a paragraph marked "7"
 - 18 A Yes.
 - 19 Q Do you see that?
 - 20 A Yes.
 - 21 Q Would you read one, two sentences --
 - JUDGE STEINBERG: Why don't you read all the
 - 23 sentences.
 - MR. ARONOWITZ: Read that whole paragraph.
 - 25 Absolutely, read the whole paragraph.

1	THE WITNESS: Read the first paragraph, Your
2	Honor.
3	JUDGE STEINBERG: Understand it.
4	MR. ARONOWITZ: It starts, "Mr. Gaghan was."
5	(Witness reviews document.)
6	THE WITNESS: Yes, I've read it.
7	MR. NAFTALIN: Could we go off the record a half a
8	sentence? I'm sorry?
9	JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes.
10	(Discussion off the record.)
11	JUDGE STEINBERG: Back on the record.
12	BY MR. ARONOWITZ;
13	Q Are you aware of whether any of your employees may
14	harbor a dislike for WVNJ or it may have threatened WVNJ or
15	the Warshaws? Just do you know?
16	A Could you repeat the question?
17	Q Are you aware of whether any of your employees,
18	Jukebox Radio, whatever, may harbor a dislike for WVNJ or
19	the Warshaws?
20	A No.
21	Q Are you aware of whether any of your employees
22	threatened WVNJ or the Warshaws?
23	A I have no proof of such incidents, no.

Q

incidents?

24

25

Are you aware that there are allegations of such

	1	A	Unfounded allegations.
	2	Q	Okay.
	3		(Pause.)
"Neserot"	4		MR. ARONOWITZ: Off the record for one moment?
	5		JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes.
	6		(Pause off the record.)
	7		JUDGE STEINBERG: Back on the record.
	8		BY MR. ARONOWITZ;
	9	Q	the unfounded allegations that you spoke of, do
	10	you know	what they are?
	11		MR. NAFTALIN: Objection as to relevance.
	12		MR. ARONOWITZ: Well
	13		JUDGE STEINBERG: What's the relevance to
	14		MR. NAFTALIN: What is it?
"PLEASEM"	15		JUDGE STEINBERG: Mr. Turro's qualifications or
	16	Mr. Weis'	s qualifications?
	17		MR. ARONOWITZ: Well, Mr. Turro in his direct
	18	statement	has stated that there is that he is aware of
	19	WVNJ empl	oyees harboring a personal dislike for him and
	20	threateni	ng him.
	21		JUDGE STEINBERG: He said Mr. Gaghan.
	22		MR. NAFTALIN: Right, who was a witness.
	23		MR. ARONOWITZ: Well, who is a WVNJ employee.
	24		JUDGE STEINBERG: So?
	25		MR. ARONOWITZ: I just want to see if it
1000			

1	JUDGE STEINBERG: And Mr. Gaghan testified, and we
2	have to assess his credibility, and the interest he has, if
3	any, in this proceeding and other things. And if we had
4	allegations against WVNJ here and it was alleged that Mr.
5	Turro had harbored dislike for VNJ and VNM employees and it
6	might affect his credibility with respect to his statements
7	concerning WVJN's qualifications, I would say go ahead. But
8	I think this is like I restricted during Mr. and Mrs
9	well, it was Mr. Warshaw's deposition, I did not let Mr.
10	Turro's counsel inquire into any of the financial aspects,
11	or was it financial harm I forget exactly what the ruling
12	was because I didn't think it was relevant to this
13	proceeding. And I don't think this line of questioning is
14	relevant.
15	MR. ARONOWITZ: I would only I would only make
16	the suggestion that I asked the question only to establish
17	the state of mind that existed relative to Mr. Turro's
18	statements with respect to his concerns and suspicions that
19	were raised yesterday with respect to WVNJ.
20	JUDGE STEINBERG: That VJY was jamming him?
21	MR. ARONOWITZ: Correct.
22	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. So that's on the record.
23	MR. ARONOWITZ: Okay.
24	JUDGE STEINBERG: He thought VNJ was jamming him,

and he found out that FCC jammed him.

25

- 1 He doesn't like Mr. Gaghan. Mr. Gaghan doesn't
- like him. That's apparent from the record.
- MR. ARONOWITZ: I think we can stipulate to that.
- 4 MR. NAFTALIN: Yes.
 - JUDGE STEINBERG: We don't stipulate to it. it's
 - 6 already in the record. But I don't think any knowledge or
 - 7 details of whatever you're talking about, and I think I
 - 8 know, are irrelevant.
 - 9 MR. ARONOWITZ: All right. Well, to the extent,
 - 10 all right, to the extent --
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Not to any extent.
- MR. ARONOWITZ: Absolutely.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Pretty forward.
- MR. ARONOWITZ: I have no more at this time, Your
- 15 Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Let's take -- do you want to
- 17 take 10 minutes, Mr. Helmick?
- 18 MR. HELMICK: That's all right with me.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: All right, let's take 10 minuets
- and be back here a little before 11:30, and if Mr. Turro
- 21 needs more time --
- THE WITNESS: I'm back to -- I'm fine. Thank you.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, we'll take about 10
- 24 minutes.
- THE WITNESS: I'm fine. Thank you very much. My

٦	health	10	excellent.
	neartn	18	excerrent.

- 2 (Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Back on the record.
- 4 Mr. Helmick?
- 5 MR. HELMICK: Are we on?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes.
- 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- BY MR. HELMICK:
- 9 Q
- 10 BY MR. ARONOWITZ;
- 11 Q Mr. Turro, what is your understanding of a local
- marketing agreement, you've heard that term before?
- 13 A I've heard that term before, and I've never worked
- 14 at a station that had an LMA, and for me to define one would
- 15 be going out on a limb.
- 16 Q I'm not asking you to define one. I am asking
- what is your understanding of what a local marketing
- 18 agreement?
- 19 A An LMA, if I were to guess, would be basically
- 20 where you go into a radio station. You take control -- you
- lease the radio station, you pay a monthly fee, and you take
- decisions on who gets hired, who gets fired. You pay the
- 23 bills and you operate the radio station.
- Basically, if you have an LMA, you control the
- 25 radio station.

- JUDGE STEINBERG: Don't tell the Commission that.
- 2 MR. HELMICK: Yes.
- THE WITNESS: Well, I really don't know because,
- as i said, I've never been involved in an LMA. I've never
- 5 worked at a radio station with an LMA.
- 6 Q Does an LMA, in your understanding, involve where
- 7 someone comes in and does essentially 100 percent of the
- 8 programming, produces 100 percent of the programming of the
- 9 station?
- 10 A Not necessarily, no.
- 11 Q What do you mean "not necessarily"?
- 12 A Well, you go in and you lease a radio station, and
- you take a feed from West Wood I, and they would be feeding
- 14 you all the pie.
- 15 O Let's say you had no network at the station, and a
- person comes in under an LMA, do they usually production
- their broadcasting, 100 percent of the programming on the
- 18 station?
- MR. NAFTALIN: I'm going to object at this point.
- This is calling for speculation from the witness. He has
- 21 testified he doesn't know about it.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: I think Mr. Helmick can ask
- 23 questions as to probe Mr. Turro's --
- MR. HELMICK: This is a preliminary question, Your
- 25 Honor.

1	JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes. But I think you can ask
2	questions to probe Mr. Turro's familiarity or lack of
3	familiarity with these things. And if you aren't familiar
4	and you don't know, just say you don't know.
5	Let me just excuse Mr. Turro for a minute. Can
6	you go out for a minute?
7	THE WITNESS: Sure.
8	JUDGE STEINBERG: You can use that door because
9	it's closer.
10	THE WITNESS: Sure.
11	(Witness temporarily excused from the witness
12	stand.)
13	MR. NAFTALIN: This banging is starting to get on
14	my nerves.
15	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, let the record reflect
16	that what Mr. Naftalin was talking about there is
17	construction going on in the building, and he is sitting in
18	a place where
19	MR. NAFTALIN: It's a hot spot.
20	JUDGE STEINBERG: he can hear banging.
21	MR. RILEY: I knew you
22	MR. ARONOWITZ: I was ready.
23	JUDGE STEINBERG: I didn't want to say it but
24	(Laughter)

25

JUDGE STEINBERG: -- and everyone is laughing.

- 1 And if you want to move --
- 2 MR. NAFTALIN: Thank you.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: -- to a cold spot, you may.
- 4 (Laughter.)

- MR. ARONOWITZ: Today is a real humor day because
- 6 we're actually laughing.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: An LMA is when one station in
- 8 the market basically leases another station in the same
- 9 market. Is it limited to the same market?
- MR. ARONOWITZ: I don't think it's limited --
- MR. HELMICK: It's not limited to the same market.
- MR. ARONOWITZ: -- to the same market. That may
- 13 have implications but I don't --
- MR. HELMICK: And you don't want to use the word
- 15 "lease."
- JUDGE STEINBERG: I know I don't want to use the
- word "lease" like he didn't want to use the word "control,"
- or you didn't you want to use word "lease." The Commission
- 19 doesn't --
- MR. HELMICK: Right.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: -- like to acknowledge that's
- what is being done. But it's not limited to the same
- 23 market?
- MR. HELMICK: No. Typically, when someone wants
- to buy a station in a market, they have no other stations in

- the market, they enter into an agreement to acquire a
- 2 station and while the application is pending with the
- 3 Commission they enter into an LMA where they program the
- 4 station 100 percent of the time.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Yes, my concern was that
- it was limited to two stations in the same market.
- 7 (Simultaneous conversation)
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Wait, one person has to talk at
- 9 a time.
- MR. ARONOWITZ: It's not limited to the same
- 11 market. When it's in the same market, it has ownership
- 12 implications.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: What is the rule number?
- 14 MR. NAFTALIN: It's 35(a)55.
- MR. ARONOWITZ: It's 35-855, and it's --
- JUDGE STEINBERG: 35 what?
- 17 MR. NAFTALIN: 733555.
- MR. ARONOWITZ: And actually I worked with rule a
- 19 number of time so I know it's here somewhere.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, I just wanted -- you know,
- we don't have to get into it because I know that this is
- 22 addressed to a question that I asked at the admission
- 23 session, and I just -- I thought that perhaps my terminology
- when I asked this to be addressed, I thought that my
- terminology might have been wrong, and maybe I should have

- same time brokerage arrangement instead of LMA.
- In any event, I would expect all of this to be
- 3 thoroughly discussed in findings and conclusions.
- MR. ARONOWITZ: Yes. The problem is, Your Honor,
- 5 and I can represent this from personal experience, people
- tend to use these terms interchangeably, and in fact there
- are differences between PBAs, LMAs, and so on and so forth,
- 8 and they are really marginal -- there are marginal
- 9 differences, but they are key.
- MR. RILEY: Although I don't believe the
- 11 Commission's rules use the term "LMA." The Commission's
- 12 rules use the term "time brokerage.)
- MR. ARONOWITZ: Correct.
- MR. NAFTALIN: And I think you would find in
- practice that the title on the document is almost
- 16 interchangeable.
- MR. RILEY: Oh, absolutely.
- MR. NAFTALIN: There is one thing called time
- 19 brokerage, the other --
- 20 (Simultaneous conversation.)
- MR. ARONOWITZ: That's what I was trying to
- 22 suggest is that people tend to use these interchangeably
- 23 albeit incorrectly.
- MR. RILEY: Yes.
- MR. ARONOWITZ: And the Commissions rules do not

- define LMA; they define brokerage, that is, to the best of
- 2 my recollection.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. I didn't want Mr. Turro
- 4 to hear this discussion. This discussion is more to clarify
- 5 in my mind because I had -- as I explained, I had a
- 6 misconception.
- 7 So I guess we can get Mr. Turro back if somebody
- 8 could -- however is closest to the door.
- 9 MR. ARONOWITZ: Excuse me. Excuse me.
- 10 Are you clear?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes.
- MR. ARONOWITZ: Okay. I was going to try another
- 13 definition but if you're fine --
- JUDGE STEINBERG: No, no, no.
- 15 (Witness returns to the witness stand.)
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Just to let Mr. Turro know, we
- 17 clarified a misconception that I had.
- THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
- 19 BY MR. HELMICK:
- 20 Q Mr. Turro, not to belabor the point, I really
- 21 don't want a technical definition. I just want basically an
- 22 understanding.
- You said that you thought an LMA was when an
- individual or entity came in and leased the station.
- 25 A Yes.

1	Q	Without	regard	to	whether	а	station	gets	its	

- 2 programming from a network or any other sources, is it your
- 3 understanding that under an LMA that person or entity
- 4 basically controls whatever programming is broadcast on the
 - 5 station?
 - 6 A Yes.
 - 7 Q What is your understanding as to what a time
- 8 brokerage agreement is?
- A A time brokerage agreement is where an individual
- can go into a radio station, such as WVNJ, and buy a little
- or as much air time as they wish. They don't get involved
- in controlling the radio station or making -- hiring and
- firing the stations, and they don't pay the bills. They
- 14 generally don't control the radio station.
- 15 Q If you were to compare a -- well, if you were to
- 16 compare a local marketing agreement with a time brokerage,
- would there be any difference between those agreements in
- 18 your understanding?
- 19 A If my understand, Mr. Helmick, about LMAs is
- 20 correct, there would be a difference. In an LMA, the
- 21 individual makes certain decisions as to the radio station's
- 22 personnel.
- Again, I must admit, Your Honor, I have a very
- 24 cloudy idea of what an LMA is.
- Q Well, let's limit it to programming. Is there any

- difference or distinction in your understanding as to an LMA
- and a time brokerage agreement as to programming that is
- 3 broadcast by a station?
- A If the station were LMA, I would imagine that
- whosever LMA station would be ultimately responsible for the
- 6 programming on a radio station. In a time brokerage -- in a
- 7 time brokerage, the licensee would still be responsible for
- 8 the programming on the radio station.
- 9 MR. HELMICK: Your Honor, I would like to show a
- 10 portion of the this particularly to refresh his
- 11 recollection, part of his deposition?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Does Mr. Turro has his own copy?
- MR. NAFTALIN: I have my one copy here. Which
- 14 pages?
- MR. HELMICK: Pages 199 to a portion of page 200,
- 16 specifically --
- MR. NAFTALIN: I'll represent those two pages are
- 18 unmarked.
- MR. HELMICK: Specifically, I would like you to
- show him starting on page 199 with line 11, and over to page
- 21 200.
- THE WITNESS: Starting on line 11?
- MR. HELMICK: Line 11 right there.
- MR. NAFTALIN: Where?
- MR. HELMICK: Excuse me.

1	RY	MR	HELMICK:
	DΙ	1.11.	

- 2 Q Why don't just go to factors of summation. Why
- don't you go to page 200 of the deposition, and read any
- 4 previous, but line 22 at the bottom of page 200, and to the
- 5 end of the page. There is a little bit of carryover to page
- 6 201.
- 7 A I'm sorry. The end of page 201?
- MR. NAFTALIN: And then a little top.
- 9 MR. HELMICK: Yes, a little bit on page 201.
- Just read on down to line five on page 201.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: 201, line five.
- MR. HELMICK: No, 201, line five.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Okay, it's over here.
- 15 (Witness reviews document.)
- THE WITNESS: Okay. You only wanted me to read
- 17 five line, Mr. Helmick?
- 18 BY MR. HELMICK:
- 19 O Now, you read page 200, starting around line 22,
- then 201, down to about line five?
- 21 A Yes.
- O Okay. Does that refresh your recollection as to
- 23 what you stated at your deposition?
- 24 A I think I just said it now. Repeat it.
- 25 Q Wait.

1	Α	Okay,	I'm	sorry.

- 2 Q In July 1997, as to what you understood to be a
- difference between a local marketing agreement and a time
- 4 brokerage agreement as to programming matters?
- 5 A I didn't understand then and I don't understand
- 6 now what an LMA is, and I think I made that clear on page
- 7 200. Basically means I really don't know. And I mean, I
- 8 made it clear, and I remember the deposition clearly that I
- 9 made it -- that I really don't know what an LMA is which is,
- 10 I mean, I want to answer this question for you. I don't
- 11 know. I'm trying to compare apples to oranges when I
- 12 haven't seen the orange.
- 13 Q All right. Is it not correct that at your
- deposition, in response to a question I asked, "What's your
- understanding of the difference between and LMA and time
- brokerage agreement, if there is any," and your answer was,
- "On a time brokerage agreement, it's a few hours a day. An
- 18 LMA, as I understand it, you basically take over operation
- of the radio station." Is that what you said?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Thank you.
- What is your understanding of what a network
- 23 agreement is as to programming matters?
- 24 A In a network affiliation agreement you have the
- 25 ability -- you have the ability to take as much of the

- 1 programming as you like.
- 2 Q No, let's look at -- okay, you are absolutely
- 3 right. It's a network affiliation agreement. Let's look at
- 4 this from, first of all, from the network itself.
 - 5 A Any network?
 - 6 Q Any network.
 - 7 A Okay.
 - 8 Q Let's take Jukebox network, as a matter of fact.
 - 9 A Okay.
- 10 Q You have an agreement whereby you provide, do you
- not, essentially 100 percent of the programming to WJUX?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q Would you explain what programming you provide
- 14 WJUX?
- 15 A I make available to them 24 hours a day, seven
- days a week. I don't provide it. I make it available to
- 17 them.
- 18 Q What's the difference between provide and make
- 19 available?
- 20 A Provide means it's there if they want to take it.
- 21 And, in fact, I guess available could be the same thing,
- 22 provide and available.
- 23 Q In other words, you put the programming on the
- 24 network. If WJUX wants it, they take it? If they don't
- 25 want it, they preempt it or delete it?